British Nationalist
FOR RACE AND NATION
JULY 1989
25p

MEDIA HUSHING UP RACE WAR

ON THE MORNING of May 24th, people all over Britain, as they sat eating their breakfast or drove to work, heard on broadcast reports of a violent incident the previous night in Wolverhampton. The incident had followed a drugs raid by local police on a pub in the Heath Town area of the town. The news report rather coyly stated that hundreds of 'youths' had attacked the police, throwing bricks and petrol bombs. Shops had been looted or damaged. A council office had been set ablaze. Barricades had been erected and a pitched battle had been fought with the police, part of whom had been obliged by the conditions prevailing to wear riot gear.

Several police officers had been injured.

To those familiar with the Wolverhampton area and with the nature of the Heath Town district, as well as those elsewhere who are properly informed on these types of disturbances, there was no doubt what sort of people the news report was speaking of when it mentioned 'youths'. It was clear that the mob running riot was either wholly or predominantly black. The report, however, failed to state this fact.

Many people, hearing of this trouble, waited with interest to listen to further news bulletins later in the day to learn more. But they waited in vain. We heard no further mention on national news broadcasts. It was if the disturbance never happened.

Many later picked up their newspapers to see if there was any information about the incident printed in the press. Of the national papers, only *The Sun* gave any prominence to the Wolverhampton battle. In the others there was scarcely a word.

What happened between the issue of the early morning news bulletins on TV and radio on the 24th May and the subsequent bulletins later in the day? Why did a very newsworthy event later become a 'non-event'?

We can only guess, from our knowledge of the way the news media work, that in the intervening period someone approached the newsmen and quietly 'advised' that the item be dropped.

And why was it that the newspapers, with the honourable exception of *The Sun*, almost entirely ignored the incident the day afterwards? Again, we can only conclude that the same strangely influential voices whispered in the ears of the editors and likewise 'advised' them that the item would better be censored out.

It was only by means of our contacts in the West Midlands, through which we were able to obtain copies of the local newspapers, including the Wolverhampton *Express and Star*, and the *Birmingham Evening Mail*, that we were able to find out the true scale of the trouble. These papers of course, read as they are by many people who witnessed what happened first-hand, were obliged to be somewhat more factual, and acknowledge that there had in fact been a major outbreak of civil disorder. Even they, however, were not completely honest in their coverage of the trouble. References were made to the mob being 'multi-racial' or including both Blacks and Whites. As it was, we had a correspondent who happens to live on the Heath Town estate and saw the riot himself. He has testified that an overwhelming majority of those taking part were in fact black.

Contd. overleaf

POLICE FACE RAMPAGING MOB

These are police in Wolverhampton on the night of May 23rd. What they faced was a major civil disturbance involving several hundreds of mainly black mobsters. Why was this event not properly reported by the mass media? It is clear that the reason was the reluctance of the 'establishment' to allow the British public to hear of yet another outbreak of racial trouble — underlining once again the failure of the multi-racial society in Britain. While our politicians and the majority of our news reporters are trying to assure ourselves that ethnic minorities are integrating with us 'harmoniously', disturbances of this kind are breaking out all over the country.

NEWS BLACKOUT ON RACE

Honest journalist admits the truth

FROM out of the multitude of liars and deceivers who control our news media, just occasionally an honest writer or broadcaster appears. Such a person is Richard Heller, who wrote an article in *The Mail on Sunday*, which appeared on May 28th, a few days after the Wolverhampton riot. Mr. Heller headlined his article 'Menace of a colourblind TV and press', after having preheaded it 'After the riots, a call for honesty about racial tensions.'

Said Mr. Heller, speaking of the news reports on the morning after the riot, which described the rioters as 'youths': "Who were these 'youths'? What was their predominant race? Did they appear wealthy or poor? Were they local or outsiders? No-one was told."

Not until later, the writer said, did the public learn that "the riot took place in a racially-mixed housing estate with a history of racial tension, threats to police and attacks on them by some black youths."

One report, said Mr. Heller, "described the rioters as 'racially-mixed' — allowing listeners to imagine the inclusion of rampaging Red Indians, Chinese or Samoans."

The writer continued:

Contd. overleaf

British
Nationalist

No. 92 JULY 1989
Editor: John Morse

THE HIGH COST OF MR. RUSHDIE

BECAUSE Indian author Salman Rushdie spoke out of turn in his 'Satanic Verses' novel about the Islamic faith in a way that, as a born Moslem himself, he should have known not to do if he wanted to keep himself out of trouble, he now finds his life in danger from his irate co-religionists around the globe.

As a result, he has been given a permanent guard of British bobbies as his minders. At any rate, he DID have a round-the-clock guard. It now seems that this has been reduced to a single truncheon-carrying PC.



RUSHDIE
A very expensive guest

Whatever the case may be, and without knowing exactly what this is costing the long-suffering British taxpayer, we suspect that we are probably being taken for quite a pretty penny.

Mr. Rushdie has never done anything we know of to deserve all this solicitude at our expense.

To our knowledge, he is an insolent and pushy multi-racialist, intimate of our own chic, trendy and well-heeled middle- and upper-class liberal-lefties, who has never been slow to side with our militant ethnic minority lobbies. He has always been one of those most ready to denounce Britain at the drop of a pin for what he and his liberal friends call its 'racist oppression'. Not uncommonly, his barbs have been aimed at our police.

Now that he has helped to boost still further the violence of multi-racial Britain, it is these same police who have to bear the burden, just as it is these same police he now fully expects to protect his own precious hide, which he himself has so foolishly put at risk. Meanwhile, he seemingly expects the rest of us to go on stumping up for the cost of all this forever.

Such a cost, it must be remembered, includes not only the expense of police protection for Rushdie himself, and the shops that sell his book, but also that of the huge police presence that is now being required to cope with anti-Rushdie demonstrations up and down the country.

We say that it is time to end Mr. Rushdie's life of clover.

It is BNP policy to repatriate all Moslems to their Asian and African lands of origin, along with all other non-white immigrants and their descendants currently in Britain. We certainly intend to include Mr. Rushdie in this programme. Quite apart from anything else, this might turn out to be the most amicable way that we and the Moslems can between us solve the whole Rushdie problem. In that way, Moslems could, if they so wished, deal with Rushdie according to their own laws and customs back in their mutual Asian homeland.

Meanwhile, this solution would have the great merit of removing all trace of such alien quarrels from our land.

YES, WE ARE BEING SWAMPED!

Ethnic minorities fast replacing native Londoners in nation's capital

BRITAIN'S CAPITAL CITY is now awash with alien immigrants. This is the message of two highly significant sets of statistics published last month.

According to figures recently given out on the regional TV *London Programme*, there are thought to be as many as 500,000 assorted illegal immigrants working in rag-trade sweat-shops in the capital. These appear to consist mainly of Asians.

By working at very low wage rates, these immigrants help to prop up the black economy.

The programme focused on clothing factories in the East End of London, up to three-quarters of whose workforce are made up of Turkish illegal immigrants. These factories and their illegal workers are gradually getting themselves established. The big West End stores now stock the goods they produce. In addition, the Turks — to mention only one group of such workers — are said to be getting up a campaign to obtain legal status in Britain, where the living standards they enjoy can be assumed to compare very favourably with those of their homeland.

'ETHNICS' IN MAJORITY IN SCHOOLS

According to the second set of figures, put out by the Inner London Education Authority, 'ethnic minority' children, taken as a whole, are no longer **in fact** a minority at all in the capital's schools. They now make up slightly more than half of the 250,000 pupils in the schools under ILEA's control.

Statistics show that only 48 per-cent of 150,000 children in the Authority's 750 primary schools belong to the "English, Scottish, Welsh" ethnic group. In 140 secondary schools the figure is just 50 per-cent.

Overall, these figures indicate that London is well on the way to matching the scenario predicted for our big cities by Enoch Powell in his most recent statement on Britain's growing racial crisis. Mr. Powell told a press interviewer at the end of last year that before long Britons resident in inner-city areas would start to find themselves an actual minority.

There can be no doubt that indigenous Britons are being swamped in London. The same is true of many other cities and towns of Britain.

TYPICAL SCHOOL OF TODAY
With their much higher birthrate, non-Whites are forming an ever-increasing part of the young population

HONEST JOURNALIST ADMITS THE TRUTH

(Contd. from prev. page)

"People who saw what happened, including the police reinforcements with riot shields, must have been bewildered by this kind of reporting. It did no service to the needs of an open society."

SINISTER HAND OF THE PRESS COUNCIL

Said Mr. Heller: "The evasive reporting of Wolverhampton owed much to misguided attempts by the Press Council and others to suppress supposedly irrelevant references to race, especially in contexts thought likely to create or confirm prejudice.

"But these restrictions actually harm the interests of black people and prevent us acquiring the information we need to tackle deep-seated social problems...

"The Press Council and other worthy bodies assume that we are not mature enough to be trusted with some kinds of information, in case we automatically use it to reinforce prejudice and stereotyping."

Mr. Heller is of course here stepping about as far as he dares to step, as a journalist, into the murky waters of the race controversy. He would have been much nearer the mark if he had spoken of honest reporting about riots reinforcing the belief that the multi-racial society cannot succeed. But had he been as frank as that he probably would never have got his article into the columns of *The Mail on Sunday*, so perhaps we should be grateful for small mercies!

By his reference to the Press Council "and others" (whoever they may be), Mr. Heller was of course speaking of the people who, as our report on page 1 mentions, 'advised' broadcasters and newspaper editors to drop news of the riot, or at least to report it only half-factually.

Mr. Heller refers in his article to radio and TV reports in the evening after the riot. We tuned into a number of news bulletins and did not hear them. It is not clear from his article whether he is referring to bulletins in the West Midlands area or nationwide. If they were nationwide, they were very incomplete, to say the least, and certainly not broadcast on all networks or at all hours. The same is true of the mid-day news.

Mr. Heller ended his article with a very commonsense plea, saying: "It is...desperately important for black people to be reported as black people, even in unfavourable contexts...It is even more important for society as a whole to possess every bit of information it can about every single piece of news which affects its future."

And so say all of us!

The problem area they thought money would put right

THE HEATH TOWN AREA of Wolverhampton is one of those perfect monuments to woolly liberal dreams of paradise to be achieved by the throwing of infinite money at social problems.

Back in 1981, after the series of ferocious riots that had convulsed many British cities and towns, the Government's appointee Lord Scarman went on an extensive tour of the trouble spots trying to find out what millions of British people knew already: what had made the ethnic minorities run amok and stage pitched battles against the police.

Heath Town, Wolverhampton was one of the areas the noble Lord visited. As with everywhere else, he was convinced that bad housing lay at the root of the trouble. Spend lots of money on giving the Blacks nice housing conditions and they wouldn't riot anymore. That was the solution.

So vast sums of money were poured into Heath Town to give the place a face-lift. Included in the plans for the area was a housing advice centre, set up to help the local residents.

All the different blocks on the estate were greatly improved; and, in the words of one senior local police official, generally there was "an air of progress."

The recent troubles showed that all this pouring out of money didn't do the slightest bit of good. In fact Hawthorne House, one of the very last sections of the estate to be 'upgraded' and therefore one of the most recent in its renovations, was one of the main trouble spots of the mayhem on the night of May 23rd. As for the housing advice centre, the locals showed their appreciation of this by burning it to the ground!

Of course, we could have told Lord Scarman years ago that this would happen. The trouble is he never asked us!

SCARMAN
Thought housing improvements would do the trick

RACE WAR COVER-UP (Contd. from front page)

According to these local press reports, a number of some 500 rioters were running amok, and about 250 police were required to restore order, many of these bearing riot equipment. Incredibly, according to the reports, no arrests were made — though it was stated that the police did intend to apprehend some of the troublemakers later, presumably having recognised a number of them on the scene or otherwise taken photographs. This clearly indicates a policy bearing the stamp of West Midlands Chief Constable Geoffrey Dear, whereby 'softly-softly' policing methods are practised so as to avoid 'antagonising' the rioters and their friends.

Said one local resident who was interviewed by the *Express and Star*: "The scene here last night was terrifying. The rioters wouldn't even let the firemen get near the council office to tackle the flames." Said one of the firemen concerned: "I have never experienced anything like it in my life. Hundreds of youths were raining bricks down on the machine and the windscreen was shattered."

According to the *Birmingham Evening Mail*: "Wolverhampton's sprawling Heath Town estate was a scene of devastation today after last night's riot."

With this exposure, we are reporting the main points made in an article by Richard Heller, published in *The Mail on Sunday* a few days after the mayhem in Wolverhampton. Mr. Heller, a genuine rarity — an honest journalist, bears out all that we have said here about a deliberate conspiracy to to cover up the facts about what happened. There is not the slightest doubt that, as Mr. Heller indicates, there is some very influential power, exercising a role tantamount to that of 'Big Brother', that is contriving to ensure that, as far as possible, the British people are prevented from learning the true facts about the racial trouble that is breaking out all over this country. We ourselves receive reports from correspondents in many areas which confirm this, and show that what happened in Wolverhampton in May was no isolated event.

What in fact we are up against is a deliberate censorship of news — no different from that prevailing in Soviet Russia (at least until recently) and other totalitarian states. This censorship aims at suppressing any facts or information that serve to confirm that the much-vaunted 'multi-racial society' is not working.

The true reality is that *British Nationalist* and other patriotic journals like *Spearhead*, which for years have predicted the collapse of the multi-racial experiment, are being proved right. Multi-racialism, as pursued by the present Government and its predecessors, is a total disaster. The inhabitants of Heath Town, Wolverhampton, found this out to their cost on the night of May 23rd.

We say NO to Hong Kong Chinese

FOR YEARS, we have been predicting that the Government was intent on finding an excuse to settle millions of Hong Kong Chinese in this country. Now the Government is seizing upon the present troubles in Red China as an excuse to promote just such an influx. Hong Kong is due to join Red China in 1997, and many of the people there do not like this prospect in view of what is now happening in the country. As a result, Mrs. Thatcher is now talking about being 'more flexible' about the restrictions imposed on their entry into Britain.

For some time, moves have been afoot to relax our already feeble nationality laws so as to grant huge numbers of Hong Kong Chinese a right of residence in Britain. Such a change would create the potential for a massive new tidal wave of coloured immigration. Anything up to 3½ million Chinese might thereby acquire an immediate entitlement to come to the United Kingdom.

Weathy and high-status Hong Kong Chinese are particularly keen to get hold of full British passports, allowing them to settle here. They regard this as an 'insurance' in case Chinese Communist rule after the handover turns out not to be to their taste. Senior officials in the colony, including senior aides to the Governor, have recently been pressurising parliament and the Government in this direction by way of the House of Commons Select Committee on Foreign Affairs.



HONG KONG
Behind the glitter lie corruption, crime, vice and slums

The line being adopted is that Britain has what is described as a 'moral obligation' to provide Hong Kong Chinese with a bolt-hole in Britain. According to colonial official John Swaine, QC, "Her Majesty's Government should give the people of Hong Kong an option."

The waterworks were turned on when, giving evidence before the Commons Select Committee on its recent so-called 'fact-finding tour' of the colony, senior executive councillor Dame Lydia Dunn sobbingly attacked those aspects of Britain's Nationality Act which might in any way limit the rights of Hong Kong's inhabitants to pour into Britain at will as "morally indefensible." This tear jerking was supplemented by a little bit of blackmail. The British MPs were warned to expect possible riots in the colony in the run-up to 1997 "if the confidence of its population was eroded." Just such riots recently broke out. Both types of pressure seem to have done the trick.

GOVERNMENT'S FEAR OF BACKLASH

As the committee left the colony, it would recommend an easing of existing limits on immigration to Britain so as to admit some categories of Hong Kong Chinese for settlement, thus getting the principle established. There is in any case strong reason to think that the British Government and establishment want to go the whole way with these demands but are only held back by fear of a possible backlash among ordinary British people if they were to go too far, too fast and too openly.

Instead, politicians, both Tory and others, have just recently established a body called the Anglo-Hong Kong Trust, one of whose key functions, it is believed, will be to promote a propaganda campaign designed to soften up British public opinion to the idea of a Chinese influx.

As is well known, the Government and the City of London long ago opened up Britain to unlimited floods of Asian goods. More recently, they have encouraged the take-over of what is left of British industry by Asian, mainly Japanese, capital. It is part of the logic of their policy to allow the immigration of Asian labour to take the place of the British worker. It seems that in the future under their new economic regime the white worker is too expensive and is therefore to be sacked.

This is one reason why Hong Kong Chinese immigration, once allowed to start, will not in the longer term be limited in any way, let alone stopped — going on past form in matters of immigration.

NO OBLIGATION ON BRITAIN'S PART

Current propaganda to the effect that Britain has a 'moral obligation' to permit immigration from Hong Kong is a load of rubbish.

In fact, many Hong Kong Chinese have done very well out of Britain and at Britain's expense. The international money men of the City of London have had no compunction about transferring British capital to the colony, which they have seen as a vast reservoir of cheap sweated labour, undercutting British and other western workers in world markets.

This has had the effect of erecting a facade of western-style affluence and sophistication over Hong Kong, providing a gravy train for many of the locals.

Behind the mask, however, Hong Kong has a very different face. Its whole public life is oiled by massive corruption, and behind the superficial glitter of its skyscrapers lies an overcrowded rabbit warren of crime-ridden slums dominated by the underworld gangs known as the Tongs, which control the pervasive prostitution, gambling and drug-pushing.

If massive immigration from Hong Kong is permitted, as seems likely, by the British Government, it can be assumed that these conditions will rapidly be reproduced here in Britain. The presence of the organised Mafia-style crime of the Tongs amongst Chinese in Britain is already being reported.

In the wake of the recent crackdown by the Peking Government on the dissidents in China proper, a massive new wail of sympathy for the Hong Kong Chinese has been orchestrated in this country by the mass media and backed up by our main political leaders. Once again, we are being solemnly lectured that it is Britain's 'duty' to take in whatever numbers of Hong Kong Chinese (and possibly other Chinese) wish to come here. But we've heard it all before. We heard it when President Idi Amin expelled the Ugandan Asians in 1972. Always, it seems, it must be dear old Britain who must take the burden of giving sanctuary to everyone in the world who does not like conditions where he lives and wants to get away.

We may feel sorry for some of the Chinese people who will lose out if Hong Kong is forced to come under an oppressive Chinese regime in 1997. But that is as far as it should go. The British Government's duty is to **our own British people**, who for far too long have been placed at the end of the list. There is not any more room in this already overcrowded land for the discontents and rejects of the Third World. **We must therefore say NO to the Hong Kong Chinese. They are not our problem. They are not our responsibility. Why should they be our burden?**

The big Asian demo in London: an eye-witness report

The stranger within my gate,
He may be evil or good,
But I cannot tell what powers control —
What reasons sway his mood;
Nor when the gods of his far-offf land
Shall repossess his blood.

Rudyard Kipling

THERE ARE TIMES when one can witness events which epitomise Britain's decline and fall. Central London on the evening of May 27th was one such occasion for me. The events of that day were well reported in the media, and indeed are well known. The establishment allowed a marauding horde of over 20,000 Moslem and anti-Salman Rushdie demonstrators to march through our capital, laying siege for hours to the very precincts of government. Parliament Square was occupied by the mob from mid-day on.

The police seemed impotent to disperse the violent mob, despite making over 100 arrests. Why? Clearly, the forces of law and order are more than equal to clearing away a mob ten times larger than that seen on this occasion (witness Peking). But the Government decided to tie the hands of the police — always the fall guys of Tory policy. What follows are my personal observations of events, as I approached the scene in early evening:-

PREPARED FOR TROUBLE

From Westminster Palace and Whitehall, in every direction, like tentacles coming from a giant squib , flowed crowds of demonstrators, reluctantly returning to their homes.

Turks, Iranians, North Africans, Pakistanis, Bangladeshis and Arabs of every stripe — but scarcely a woman could be seen. This was a masculine affair. Was this just due to Islamic male chauvinism or had the mob come prepared for trouble? Both are probably true. At 7 o'clock some 10,000 were still occupying Westminster Bridge, fists clenched, gnashing their teeth, chanting their slogans of hate made thankfully inaudible by police helicipters hovering low overhead.

Sullen-faced groups congregated on every corner still itching for more after their frenzied attacks of earlier in the day on the police and on foolish pacifist, do-gooding 'free speech' campaigners. Parliament Square was littered with the debris of battle — barely a square foot of grass, paving or tarmac could be seen beneath the broken placards and discarded leaflets. The whiff of hysteria was in the air, for this was the snarling, insolent face of Islam. Salman Rushdie was irrelevant during this raw display of Moslem power. Had the march coincided with the descent to hell of Ayatollah Khomeini, in all likelihood London would still be burning now.

MAYHEM IN PARLIAMENT SQUARE
London police face rampaging Asian mob

Certain scenes seemed to symbolise the fall of the British Empire. A surly mob, in every imaginable garb — surely as comprehensive a selection of tribal dress ever to have assembled in London — swarmed down the Albert Embankment to pick up their transport to go 'home'. Their coaches displayed their departure points: Burton-on-Trent, Rochdale, Huddersfield, Bradford, Leicester, a fleet of municipal buses from Oldham. Towns once so intrinsically British, but now each one facing a major battle for its identity.

Outside Lambeth Palace, London residence of the senior prelate of the Church of England, ice cream vans swarmed, incongrously accompanying and sustaining the mob.

In Parliament Square itself, while a thin blue line of police battled just yards away to contain the alien host on Westminster Bridge, a dozen Moslems extravagantly began their prayers, bowing to Allah in front of the Mother of Parliaments and directly facing that bastion of Christendom, Westminster Abbey. That Mohammed heard their prayers is doubtful, for they were facing west! But of course their purpose was not to praise Allah but to mock their enemies!

On many of the dark visages was a look of wonderment and surprise. For many, this was doubtless their first visit to the one-time hub of Empire. You could imagine a similar expression on the faces of the conquering hordes of Mongols, fresh from the chartless steppes, coming face-to-face with the once impregnable but now crumbling walls of Rome. Stare they did, open-mouthed at the buildings and monuments of a tarnished civilisation, as we might marvel at the Coliseum or the Acropolis.

What bitter verses would Kipling pen on this scene? What solutions would the heroes of Empire have proposed: Kitchener, Gordon, Roberts, Sir Garnet Wolsey, Sir Colin Campbell, the saviour of Lucknow, or General Dyer, the hero of Amritsar? Their names are legion, their memory brought to naught. It is only in our movement that their spirit lives on. It is our task to erase the shame of our present and build again a civilisation that will surpass the pinnacles of human achievement and endure for countless generations to come.

EDDY BUTLER

Why Israel is hated

FOR YEARS after the Second World War, Israel enjoyed worldwide sympathy and received a great deal of economic and military aid from the West. It was this sympathy that led the world to excuse and ignore Israel's earliest terrorist acts.

The first of these were committed by the murderers of the Stern Gang terror group just before the State of Israel came into being.

ISRAEL PREMIER SHAMIR
This picture shows him in his younger days, when he was a leading terrorist. Last year he said that Palestinians who sought freedom would be "crushed like insects."

Among hundreds of terror attacks, the three most remembered are the bombing of the King David Hotel in Jerusalem, in which 105 British people died and many more were badly injured, crushed in the falling masonry; the kidnapping of the two British Army sergeants, Pace and Martin, who had their hands tied behind their backs and were gagged, hooded and then hanged; and the cease-fire violation by another Jewish terror group, the Irgun. These cowardly murderers entered the Arab village of Deir Yassin (now part of Jerusalem) on April 10th 1948. The Jews slaughtered the unarmed Palestinians, after torturing some of them, and threw their bodies down a well. Pregnant women were bayonetted to death and children had their heads battered in.

That night no less than 260 innocent civilians were massacred by the Irgun sadists. Menachem Begin, later to become Prime Minister of Israel, described the horror as "a great victory."

Since the formation of the Jewish State, Israel has attacked the American ship *USS Liberty*, killing 26 sailors, and has overflown neighbouring Jordan to bomb a peaceful Iraq.

But all these crimes, horrific as they are, are not the main cause of the hatred now felt for Israel around the world. This is because, up to fairly recently, Israel still had 'international sympathy' and her crimes were mainly hushed up or excused.

What has now turned world sympathy into world hatred are the pictures of the Israeli occupation of the Palestinians. In South Lebanon the Israelis used their Lebanese mercenaries to wipe out two Palestinian refugee camps in Sabra and Chatila. Hundreds were killed.

In Gaza and the West Bank, however, Israeli troops themselves do the killing. When Palestinians began their uprising (the Intifada) to throw off the yoke of Israeli oppression, they were put under martial law. Since this time, Israeli soldiers have killed 490 people and put another 30,000 into CONCENTRATION CAMPS.

Since the Israelis seized Gaza and the West Bank they have dynamited and bulldozed 1,300 Palestinian homes in 'collective pubishment', and in fact since 1948, when Israel was founded, the Palestinians have been driven out of 455 villages, with 385 of these being dynamited or bulldozed to the ground.

Israel's Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir said last year that the Palestinians who sought freedom would be stopped by Israel's 'iron fist' and "crushed like insects."

The Euro-elections

MRS. T. GETS HER COME-UPPANCE

MRS. THATCHER is still publicly posturing as the 'champion' of Britain's national sovereignty against the encroachments of the Common Market and the integration of Britain into a United States of Europe. This is partly what her current argument with Chancellor Nigel Lawson is all about.

Lawson quite openly wants to merge Britain's currency into the Euro-monetary system, under which the international value of the Pound would be pegged within definite limits against that of other EEC member states' currencies. The EMS is a first step towards full European monetary union, leading ultimately to a single Euro-currency and the fullest possible concentration of financial power over the nations of Europe in the hands of international bankers and money-men.

WE ARE NOT AMUSED
Mrs. Thatcher has every reason to look glum following the Euro-election results

ANTI-INTEGRATION POSE

Lawson's boss Maggie has been presenting herself as an opponent of this idea, in the very same way as she sets herself up as an opponent of every other aspect of European integration.

But a number of facts give the lie to Maggie's public stance, facts of which she is fully aware:-

Fact 1: As long ago as 1985 Britain signed the Single European Act. Without any ifs or buts, this absolutely commits us to a European super state.

As fanatical Tory pro-Common Marketeer, former Prime Minister Edward Heath, told last year's Conservative Party conference: "It is a major movement towards a United States of Europe in every way."

Fact 2: Just over a year ago the Tory *Sunday Telegraph* made it clear in a report that 'initiatives' from Brussels designed to promote European integration were not being seriously resisted by the Prime Minister and her Government. Official circles in Westminster and Whitehall were admitting as much.

Fact 3: A very large, and increasing, proportion of the legislation passing through Britain's parliament, especially since the passage of the Single European Act, has consisted of nothing but the rubber-stamping of EEC-drafted law.

As Mrs. Thatcher knows very well, the onward march of the Euro-tyanny, which is steadily absorbing and destroying Britain's national independence, as its originators have always intended that it should, can only be stopped in one way: **Britain must cancel her adhesion to the Treaty of Rome, repeal the Single European Act and get out of the EEC.**

But the Prime Minister will not hear of any such thing.

Her whole position is in fact a nonsense. She solemnly spouts about wanting a Europe of sovereign independent states, co-operating closely without abandoning their essential nationhood. But the plain fact is that hardly any of the dozen EEC member states see the future in this way at all. Indeed, the so-called Schengen Group of countries, made up of the original EEC hard core of six states, meet regularly to push for the more rapid abolition of all national frontiers. In any case, the advent of the Single Market in 1992 will, to all intents and purposes, have this effect. It is specifically intended to do so.

POLL HAMMERING NO SURPRISE

This being the case, it is no wonder that the Tories should have taken such a hammering in the June Euro-elections. The electorate clearly could not see the sense in their leader's negative attitude towards the EEC when, by its very nature, she could not change that body from what it is, namely an embryonic super state. At the same time, the electorate could see the illogic of the PM raising all these objections to the way Europe is heading while not being prepared to do the only sensible thing in the circumstances, which is to take Britain out.

In addition to these factors, we must also realise that the kind of people who share what appears — and, we stress, only **appears** — to be Mrs. Thatcher's attitude of resistance to European integration are the people most likely to stay away from the Euro-polls and not vote.

But Mrs. Thatcher refuses to accept the logic of her own position. She will not take Britain out of the EEC but she keeps nevertheless knocking it — a course that leads nowhere.

It is for this reason that we believe that the results that the Tories obtained in the recent Euro-elections were nothing more than what Mrs. Thatcher deserved.

In effect, she got her come-uppance for years of shilly-shallying over Europe, not wanting us fully in but not being prepared to take us out.

This paper, and the British National Party, by contrast, have always been unequivocal in our attitude to the EEC. **We say: bring Britain out. Reassert our national independence. Mend our broken links with the old White Commonwealth. Co-operation with Europe — yes. But integration — no!**

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — I am writing to you to take issue with you about the report in 'This Mad World' column last month concerning Mr. Eifon Lloyd Jones advertising his bungalow at £10,000 less than its market value on condition that the buyer is Welsh.

What is this — other than a snipe at the Welsh and the creation of a 'them-and-us' mentality divisive to British Whites?

Surely the man concerned should be applauded for defending his right to sell his property to whom he jolly well likes.

The report seems to imply that there is one law for Welsh people and another for the English, which I feel is not the case.

M. ELLIS (Welshman)
London W.5

(Editor's note: Mr. Ellis seems to have missed the point. The columnist was not condemning Mr. Lloyd Jones for wanting to sell his house to a Welsh buyer; he was only spotlighting the difference between this case and the case of a white person who wanted to sell his house to a fellow White — Welsh or English.)

Sir, — First of all, let me say that the New *British Nationalist* is excellent — and that appears to be the view of members of the public who buy it from me.

There is just one small point I need to bring up. In the report of local election results you state that the Loughton result of 146 votes 4½ per-cent) is the best result for the nationalist movement since the 1970s. Although this result was good and encouraging, it is not quite true that it was the best since the 1970s. We in Tower Hamlets scored, in May 1986, 212 votes (6.8 per-cent). Mr. Harold Challender in Birmingham scored 457 votes (6 per-cent) a few years back.

The SDP will soon be dreading facing us. Not only did we beat them in Loughton but we also did so in Tower Hamlets in 1986 — and the Tories too!

It is certainly clear that the nationalist vote is going up — for the BNP anyway.

BARRY OSBORNE
(Tower Hamlets Branch)
London E.2

Sir, — The Salman Rushdie affair has now reached ridiculous proportions. Bookshops which dare to stock Rushdie's book have been firebombed, examples being Dillons and Penguins in London. How soon before an innocent British employee at one of these shops is killed?

Things have reached a very bad state in Britain if we are to be involved in alien quarrels which have nothing to do with us. It is alleged that if we nationalists got into power we would start burning books, but it is in fact the Moslems who have introduced this habit into this country.

Only phased, humane repatriation, as advocated by the BNP, will stop the race war now engulfing Britain.

ROBERT BEST
Croydon, Surrey

Sir, — On the TV news the other night I heard an announcer say that some people in China had been executed for being 'pro-democracy' demonstrators. It turned out that they had set a train on fire and killed seven people. Would not we have hanged people for that — once?

R. BAKER
Manchester

GOVERNMENT CLOSING PITS — TO REOPEN THEM LATER?

PITS with some of the finest coal in the world inside them are on the latest list of Coal Board closures. Members of the National Union of Mineworkers (NUM) in the Nottinghamshire coalfields are convinced that pits with plenty of reserves are being closed now — so that they can be reopened later under privatised ownership!



BRITISH PITHEAD
Our coal mining industry has been mutilated by the Thatcher Government

Despite the high-grade quality of the coal, and despite increased productivity, it is impossible for our mines today to be competitive with imported coal. All European pits are subsidised. Columbian coal is mined by children. Polish coal is dug by near-slaves.

Miners will be getting just two weeks' notice of closure, although they were told only a couple of months ago that 25 years' more work was available. Redundancy money is a maximum of £700 a year, and redeployment is unreliable. Some of the miners at Blidworth Colliery (Notts) are on their third redeployment in a year.

Fat-cat profiteers, encouraged by the Thatcher Government, are making money out of the deaths of our coal miners. This was tragically and graphically illustrated to the Blidworth community only recently. Two miners, one in his twenties and one in his thirties, took advantage of the severance pay offer and left Blidworth to work in a privately-owned mine. That was shortly before Christmas. Now both are dead. Despite the pressures to cut corners to increase productivity, nationalised pits still operate stringent health and safety rules for the protection of their workers. Not so privately owned pits. Why not? Ask Mrs. Thatcher's friends in the City!

Electricity sell off to hit Scottish pits

PRIVATISATION of electricity in Scotland could kill off Scottish coal mining, even before the sell off becomes law. Though the arrangement is that privatisation will be carried out in the early 1990s, already the South of Scotland Electricity Board and British Coal are at loggerheads over the future.

In December 1987 the SSEB gave notice to British Coal that unless the coal prices were substantially reduced in line with 'world prices' it (the SSEB) would import over a million tons of coal. Since then British Coal and the SSEB have been shadow boxing over coal prices.

But to avoid a public falling out among the industrialists spearheading privatisation in Scotland the Government has forced the two to a two-year truce whereby they will not 'rock the boat' and antagonise the public.

Even so, SSEB and British Coal have continued slugging it out behind the scenes. The SSEB has imported and stockpiled a million tons of coal from China as a threat to British Coal. Further contracts with Scottish ports to import more coal are being studied. So are projects to burn gas from the North Sea.

In the background, the unions have given

Contd. on back page

Now the Eurocrats try to dictate our TV imports

THE LATEST crazy scheme that the bureaucrats of the Common Market are trying to impose on Britain is a new rule which places a limit on the amount of TV programmes we are allowed to import from outside the EEC.

The rule holds that more than 50 per-cent of the material broadcast on TV within the Community — and that includes Britain — must be made in EEC countries.

This would particularly affect Britain, in view of the larger number of programmes that we import from Australia and the United States. It would, in effect, mean that programmes made on the European Continent would have a better chance of being screened in Britain than those made in English-speaking countries.

A lot of the material that Britain imports from Australia and America is rubbish.

But the same may be said of much of the material for TV made in EEC countries. Rubbish or not, we should decide ourselves what programmes we wish to import and what programmes we do not import. We should not have Eurocrats making rules to us on the matter.

Taking Australia as a particularly important example, it is natural that two countries with such close ethnic and cultural ties as Britain and Australia should have an extensive exchange of TV programmes. It is the **principle** at stake here, much more than the quality of particular programmes we presently import, that is important.

We of *British Nationalist* believe in fact that much too much material shown on British TV is imported — 49 per-cent in fact. We believe that this should be reduced to an absolute maximum of 20 per-cent.

But as to the composition of that 20 per-cent, we in Britain should decide. It should not be decided for us by some 'Big Brother' in Europe.

And in making our decision we believe that we should give preference to products from Anglo-Saxon-Celtic countries overseas — not those of Continental Europe.



NEIGHBOURS
It may not be everyone's cup of tea — it isn't ours! But we should decide whether we want to import it or not

FRONT FLOPS IN ELECTIONS

THE NATIONAL FRONT flopped lamentably in all the three elections they contested last month.

In the parliamentary by-election in Vauxhall, the two warring NF factions fought against each other — no doubt thinking more about which faction got the better vote than about making a respectable impact on the political scene. In the outcome, the loony Front emerged with the dubious honour of getting the higher vote. Its candidate Patrick Harrington polled 127 (or 0.44 per-cent). The 'Flag' faction candidate, Ted Budden, got 83 votes (0.29 per-cent) and even finished up below the Monster Raving Loony participant.

The Front did little better in the Euro-election in Birmingham, where the 'Flag' faction put up a candidate, Martin Wingfield. Mr. Wingfield managed only to get 0.82 per-cent of the vote.

This is not to say that the BNP, had it fought in either of these elections, would have obtained a hugely better vote. The fact is that in both cases the ground was hopelessly unfavourable, and it was stupid in the extreme even to have fought the two seats. Vauxhall, now very largely black and with most of the dwindling Whites left in the area more or less content to live in a multi-racial slum, offered little chance to parties with few activists on the ground even to make a good local impact. As far as Euro-elections are concerned, someone should have told the 'Flag' NF that, quite apart from the principle of contesting seats for a parliament nationalists should not recognise, such elections should be a non-starter on purely tactical grounds anyway. The kind of people who vote in Euro-elections are overwhelmingly pro-Common Market. Most of the people who might vote for an anti-Market candidate will stay away.

British society falling apart

NEW REPORT REVEALS COLLAPSE OF FAMILY LIFE

A NEW REPORT published last month has revealed that the break-up of family life in Britain is now reaching terrifying proportions. In fact the disintegration of the British family is more advanced than anywhere else in Europe.

The report was produced by the Family Policy Studies Centre. It showed that Britain's divorce rate is now 12.9 per thousand marriages, which is almost twice the European average of 6.9.

And nearly one in four babies in Britain are born outside marriage, double the rate at the beginning of the 1980s. This figure does not of course include those babies conceived outside marriage but born after their parents have married.

The UK also heads the European league table for one-parent families — along with Denmark, both countries scoring figures of 14 per-cent of all families. Denmark is also close to Britain in its divorce rate and ahead of us in births outside marriage. In fact the figures produced show clearly that in these respects Britain and Denmark are easily the most 'permissive' countries in Europe.

The figures show, in effect, that British society is simply falling apart — for a stable level of family life is probably the most important ingredient of a stable society.

A number of MPs have greeted these statistics with alarm, and one of them (unnamed) has called for Mrs. Thatcher to set up a Cabinet group to investigate the problem and "devise policies to strengthen the family."

We in this paper do not believe that any 'investigating' is required. The factors that are leading to the wreckage of family life in Britain and the huge rate of illegitimacy should be generally known. They arise out of the 'permissive' culture that has been allowed to fester in this country over the past few decades, in particular in and since the 1960s.

REVOLUTION NEEDED

As for the Government devising policies to strengthen the family, we cannot see this happening to any effective degree until there is a drastic revolution in this country against the entire ethos of permissiveness and, more fundamentally, that of 'liberalism'. That the present Government might be thought to be capable of leading such a revolution is simply laughable.

It would involve a total overhaul, not only of education — with the weeding out of trendy, lefty schoolteachers who fail to impart principles of morality and discipline, but also a massive clean-up of the mass media, which, even more than the schools, are responsible for the destruction of Britain's moral fabric.

The facts about Britain's crumbling moral values are an indictment, not only of the political leadership, education authorities and media, but also of the Church, which in recent decades has paid far too much attention to issues of political concern and not nearly enough to the task of giving proper moral leadership to the nation.

No doubt, the truth about the breakdown of the British family will give rise to a flurry of talk in Westminster, with 'committees' set up here, there and everywhere. No doubt, there will be much pious speechmaking and much agonised wringing of hands — just as always accompany each fresh release of crime figures.

But in the end, as always, nothing will actually be **done** to tackle the problem. Nothing will be done because what has to be done is entirely beyond the capacity and will of any government under the present system and with present powers, this Conservative Government included.

NEW ORDER OF LEADERSHIP

It will require a political revolution in Britain, with an entirely new order of leadership, before crippling social problems like this one are properly dealt with.

It is pure 'pie in the sky' to hope that a government and a leadership that have been in office for the past decade, during which the problem has escalated, will now be capable of providing that problem's solution. That is about as realistic as calling on Lord Longford to take charge of a campaign to reduce the rate of child murders.

When anarchy reigns, only the iron hand of a strong authority, backed up by a political movement of wholesale national regeneration, will restore order again. That applies to the rate of crime, and it applies equally to the breakdown of the family. We will one day provide that iron hand. No-one else will — no-one else even wants to.



MORAL ANARCHY
This 'love-in' scene from a 'pop' concert symbolises perfectly what society has come to through the reign of 'liberal' values. Only a political leadership that rejects liberalism totally will clear up the mess

The rail strike: a symptom of economic failure

Supporters of Mrs. Thatcher have been fond of claiming in recent years that "at least Maggie has tamed the unions."

With a spate of labour troubles now breaking out this summer, that claim is starting to wear thin. The rail strike, in particular, has highlighted the seething discontent in many sectors of industry.

Doubtless there are some left-wing militants in the NUR who are only too pleased to exploit the rail dispute in order to bring the maximum disruption to the country.

But the real reasons for the rail strike are rooted in the failure of the present Government to stem rising inflation. The most the Government has been prepared to offer the railway employees, up to the time of our going to press, is a 7 per-cent pay rise. But inflation is now running at 8½ per-cent IN A SINGLE YEAR!

The Government is going to have to expect much more union trouble in the future if it does not control inflation — which it most certainly will not, as long as it does not tackle the problem at its source: the creation of new money by the bankers as an interest-bearing debt, to be borne by the nation. As long as this continues, strikes will be the norm. Mrs. Thatcher, please note!

WHY THE JAPS ARE WINNING

JAPAN is by general consent the most dynamic and successful nation in the world today. Its industry rides triumphant, dominating the world's markets. Now it is using its enormous reserves of foreign currency to buy up assets, properties and factories all over the world, including Britain and the United States. Yet Japan is poor in natural resources. She LOST World War II. 120 million Japanese live in a collection of islands not greatly larger than Britain.

What is the reason for the Japs' extraordinary success?

Some clue was given in an article published in the *Sunday Telegraph Magazine* on June 4th. The *Sunday Telegraph* writer clearly did not like what she had to say, but she nevertheless said it.

The writer, Joanna Holmes, reported from a school for very young children in Chiba, east of Tokyo. She made it clear that the regime at this school was duplicated at every other school in Japan. According to Miss Holmes, Japanese youngsters, right from the earliest age, are subjected to an almost military discipline. The authority of the teacher is absolute. Behaviour in class is impeccable. An early morning ritual of bowing begins the day, and woe betide any schoolkid that steps out of line!

One of the customs that prevails everywhere is the daily hoisting of the national flag. One of these is kept in every school, and the kids are taught to regard it with a respect bordering on awe. This is all part of a policy that has been introduced into the curriculum whereby youngsters are instilled with a strident nationalism. National heroes are honoured and patriotic feeling promoted as an essential part of the children's education.

STARK CONTRAST WITH BRITISH SCHOOLS

Just contrast all this with the situation in Britain. Discipline in schools has broken down to the point at which, in many, it is non-existent. A general slovenliness pervades the air everywhere The teachers are very often scruffy and untidy, setting an appalling example to their pupils. In many schools pupils are allowed, even encouraged, to call teachers by their first names. In some schools in inner-city areas, physical attacks by pupils on teachers are commonplace, and police are regularly on call.

As for teachers instilling their pupils with nationalism and pride in Britain, not only is this almost non-existent but in most schools it is even taboo. Teachers known to have patriotic sentiments are likely to have great difficulty in keeping their jobs. 'Down with Britain!' is the prevailing sentiment. Youngsters are taught about the glories of almost every country but their own. National heroes are debunked. Nor are the children taught anything about their native British culture — although of course teaching about the numerous immigrant cultures is, in many schools, mandatory.

Britain was on the winning side in the last war, just as Japan was on the losing one. Britain came out of that war still with a vast empire. Japan came out of it only with mass destruction. Yet today Japan is riding high in the world while Britain is in the gutter.

Could the different ways in which we bring up our children have anything to do with it? We certainly think so!



RESPECTING THE FLAG
Nationalism pervades all teaching in Japanese schools, with the national flag always present in prominence. In Britain, by contrast, the Union Jack is treated by most schoolteachers as an outdated relic, even something 'fascist'.

Talking Points

by RONALD RICKCORD

AN IRREPRESSIBLE PAPER

My old friend and colleague, John Morse, has kindly invited me to resume writing this column for *British Nationalist* on an occasional basis — that is if and when I can find the time! So once again the unfortunate *BN* readers will have my views inflicted on them! But joking apart, I am very pleased to renew my association with *BN* — a paper which I was privileged to edit for the first three years of its existence. It is very reassuring to know that *BN* is still thriving, despite the predictions made by our opponents, when we first launched it under the name of *New Frontier* back in 1981, that it would not survive more than a few issues.

After the formation of the British National Party, the paper was given its present name in May 1983, and it has continued to go from strength to strength, much to the chagrin of those who wanted to see it suppressed. They tried their damndest to silence *BN* by imprisoning its Editor, John Morse, and BNP party leader, John Tyndall, in 1986; but despite all their efforts *BN* continued to appear during the incarceration of these two stalwarts. Quite clearly, *BN* is a paper they can't keep down, and I'm proud to have been asoociated with it in the past and to renew that association now. So here goes...

DIET OF WORMS

It was with wry amusement that I noticed in one of the daily papers a Tory Party advertisement suggesting that a Labour victory in the EEC elections would result in our having to endure a 'Diet of Brussels'. After all, was it not the Tories, under the leadership of the egregious Mr. Heath, who took us into Europe? Of course, Labour is no better. Did they not sit on the fence before and after our entry? And did they not get themselves returned to power in 1974 by staging the phony referendum and promising to take us out of the Market unless "the terms of our membership were right"? The EEC elections demonstrate the sheer contempt with which the politicians regard British voters. It is certainly proving to be a 'Diet of Brussels': judging by the antics of our politicians, perhaps it would be better described as a 'Diet of Worms'!

EDWARD HEATH
It was his Tory Government that took us into the ECC this Tory Government is now complaining about.

A DEAFENING SILENCE

How odd that there has been so little news about John Demjanjuk lately. The lack of information concerning the man dubbed 'Ivan the Terrible' by his persecutors demonstrates yet again the biased reporting of the 'British' news media. When the Israeli prosecution presented its trumped-up charges against the hapless Ukrainian — charges which were primarily based on lurid and emotive nonsense about the 'holocaust' — the TV and press could not devote enough time and space to the antics and histrionics of the prosecution witnesses. But ever since Demjanjuk's conviction (he is now awaiting an appeal) there has been a deafening silence concerning his fate. Strange, isn't it?

QUOTE OF THE MONTH

"To understand the Home Office study called *Drinking and Disorder a Study of Non-Metropolitan Violence*, one must first crack the code. Just as 'inner-city' has long been accepted as a Home Office euphemism for 'black', so 'Non-Metropolitan' must be seen as the new codeword for 'white'." (Auberon Waugh in *The Sunday Telegraph*)

PUBLIC MEETING

ASHFORD (Kent)

Theme:-

The Common Market is ruining Kent

Speakers will include:-

JOHN TYNDALL

Venue:-

BOCKHANGER COMMUNITY CENTRE ASHFORD

Saturday, July 29th, 2.30 p.m.

Organised by the British National Party

SPREADING THE WORD

Items available from the BNP Bookshop

154 Upper Wickham Lane
Welling
Kent DA16 3DP
Telephone: 01 316 4721

Open Tuesdays to Fridays, 10 a.m. to 5.30 p.m.

BOOKS

*Here is the latest list of our books. The prices given in each case do not include postal charges, which should be estimated by calculating **one eighth** the total value of the order. Money should be enclosed with all orders, and all cheques or postal orders should be made out to the BNP Book Service **only** and not included in remittances sent for other items. Orders are likely to be dealt with more quickly if BNP Book Service is marked on the envelope.*

THE ELEVENTH HOUR (John Tyndall) £15 hardback; £10 paperback. Mostly written by the BNP leader in prison, this is the book all nationalists in Britain have been waiting for. The most comprel nsive presentation yet to appear of the case for British Nationalism. 1988, 609pp.

THE NEW UNHAPPY LORDS (A.K. Chesterton). An exposure of the financial power that seeks to dragoon mankind into a global police state. 1972, 255pp.

THE ZIONIST FACTOR (Ivor Benson) £9.50. A study of the Jewish presence in 20th century history. 1986, 216pp.

THE BIOLOGY OF THE RACE PROBLEM (Prof. W.C. George) £1.00. The race equality hoax destroyed by an academic expert. 70pp.

DID SIX MILLION REALLY DIE? (Richard Harwood) 50p. The fact-filled pamphlet that refutes the holocaust legends and has evoked Zionist frenzy. 28pp.

THE HOAX OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY (Prof. A.R. Butz) £2.40. A scientist applies clinical methods to an investigation of the 'holocaust' and completely dissects the myth. 2nd edition 1977, 315pp.

THE MONEY BOMB (James Gibb Stewart) £4.25. Discusses the failure of our debt-based financial system and describes its role in creating inflation. 1983, 158pp.

THE USES OF RELIGION (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £1.00. Examines the value of religion as a socially cohesive force. 1982, 36pp.

RACE AND POLITICS (H.B. Isherwood) 50p. Shows that a sense of racial identity is an essential element of nationhood. 1974, 36pp.

RELIGION AND THE RACIAL CONTROVERSY (H.B. Isherwood) 50p. Shows that racial integration is not ordained by Christianity but that the reverse is true. 1970, 16pp.

THE LEMMING FOLK (James Gibb Stewart) £7.95. A witty and factual examination of current attitudes and intellectual fashion that are threatening our future. 1980, 246pp.

THE GRAND DESIGN (Douglas Reed) £2.25. A behind-the-scenes look at modern world history. 1977, 45pp.

THE DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY (Wilmot Robertson) £7.50. This book has attracted worldwide attention, dealing as it does with racial problems in America — and not just simply the black-white situation. 2nd edition 1981, 613pp.

RACE AND REASON (Carlton Putnam) £4.00 A plain, unemotional account of the race issue that has been an invaluable contribution to the debate ever since it was first published. 1961 (rep. 1977), 125pp.

RACE AND REALITY (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A companion volume and supplement to *Race and Reason*, showing how the truth about the race issue has been suppressed by interested parties. 1967 (rep. 1977), 192pp.

VENTILATIONS (Wilmot Robertson) £4.50. The sequel to the same author's widely acclaimed *Dispossessed Majority*. Revised edition 1982, 113pp.

THE GLOBAL MANIPULATORS (Robert Eringer) £2.50. While the author repudiates any conspiratorial view of world events, he still provides a comprehensive exposure of the organisation and influence of the Bilderberg Group and the Trilaterial Commission. 1980, 95pp.

PEACE STUDIES IN OUR SCHOOLS: PROPAGANDA FOR DEFENCELESSNESS (John Marks) £2.95. An important book on a major educational scandal: the indoctrination of schoolchildren by pacifist and unilateralist 'educationalists'. This topical and factual study makes it plain that we are not simply confronted with odd teachers here and there abusing their positions but with a whole network of organisations and institutions, some publicly funded, co-ordinating their attack on young people's minds. 1984, 63pp.

THE ZIONIST CONNECTION (Alfred M. Lilienthal) £13.00. The second edition of a sensational exposure by a non-Zionist Jew of Zionist power politics. 1982, 904pp.

TREASON AT WESTMINSTER (Dr. Kitty Little) 50p. Text of a memorandum to the Royal Commission on Criminal Procedure entitled: *Infiltration of the Government by members of subversive or criminal organisations for the purpose of furthering the interests of those organisations.* 1979, 24pp.

A WORLD COUP D'ETAT IS PLANNED (Dr. Kitty Little) 50p. Updates the above, giving details of the destructive effects of treaties foisted on Britain. 1984, 66p.

THEY DARE TO SPEAK OUT (Paul Findley) £12.95. The author was an Illinois Congressman for 20 years until he fell foul of the Israeli lobby.

This book describes the stranglehold of Zionist power over American politicians, academics and media. Even those familiar with the subject will find this book a revelation. 1985, 362pp.

THE ZIONIST TERROR NETWORK. £2.00. A 12-page report on the murderous activities in the United States of the Jewish Defence League, compiled by the staff of the Institute for Historical Review.

RACE (J.R. Baker) £7.60. The author, a professional zoologist for 50 years, provides an objective and scholarly account of what race means and how the concept has affected human thinking. A specialist book, but one of great importance. 1974, 625pp.

THE LEUCHTER REPORT (Fred A. Leuchter) £11.50. The evidence on 'gas chambers' by an American engineer that rocked the Zundel Trial. Leuchter, in effect, testified that such installations could not have existed in German-occupied Europe before or during 1939-45. 1988, 33pp.

SPECTRE OF POWER (Malcolm Ross) £6.00. The campaigning Canadian schoolteacher describes the vendetta against him by a powerful minority. 1987, 165pp.

VICTIM OF THE HOLOCAUST (Hans Peter Rullmann) £3.50. The story of the framing of John Demjanjuk. 1987, 78pp.

THE COLLAPSE OF BRITISH POWER (Correlli Barnett) £9.95. A welcome reissue of a vitally important book first published in 1972. Shows how liberalism and lack of political realism brought about Britain's 20th century decline in the political, industrial and military fields. 643pp.

THE ZUNDEL TRIAL AND FREE SPEECH (Doug Christie) £2.25. The defence counsel's address to the jury in the infamous trial in Canada of Ernst Zundel for daring to question the 'holocaust' myth. A ringing defence of free enquiry and free speech. 1985, 32pp.

STATE SECRETS (Count Leon de Poncins) £3.50. A study of some little-known state documents that throw much light on recent history. 1975, 191pp.

CENSORED HISTORY (Eric Butler) £1.50. An examination of some of the facts of recent history that have not found their way into textbooks or newspapers. 1974, 48pp.

THE CONTROVERSY OF ZION (Douglas Reed) £9.00. A best-seller in nationalist circles ever since its publication. A study of Jewish-Gentile relations since biblical times, packed with little-known and long-suppressed facts. 1979, 580pp.

THE NAMELESS WAR (Capt. A.H.M. Ramsay) £3.25. An outline of the secret history of the events leading up to the Second World War, including information on previous upheavals. The author had the honour of being imprisoned without trial for four years during World War II, despite his status as a member of parliament. Originally published 1952, 128pp.

THE SIX MILLION RECONSIDERED (W. Grimstad) £2.50. Examines not only the 'holocaust' but other topics involved in the Jewish Question, including the exploitation of the 'anti-semitic' smear. 1977, 170pp.

RACIAL KINSHIP (H.B. Isherwood) 50p. A further well-argued presentation of the case for 'racism' by the author of *Race and Politics*. 1974, 50p.

CONSPIRACY OR DEGENERACY? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. Text of a lecture by the author, a brilliant classical scholar, to New England rally for God, Family and Country in 1966. 76pp.

AMERICA'S DECLINE: THE EDUCATION OF A CONSERVATIVE (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £3.50. A collection of articles and reviews on various aspects of the collapse of modern civilisation. 1981, 375pp.

RED PATTERN OF WORLD CONQUEST (Eric Butler) £3.75. A distinguished Australian patriot shows that the advance of communism has been assisted by the weakness and treachery of western leaders. New ed. 1985, 115pp.

BEHIND THE SCENE (Douglas Reed) £3.50. A reprint of the second part of the author's *Far and Wide*, published in 1953. The new themes are titled 'Zionism Paramount' and 'Communism Penetrant'. 93pp.

THOUGHT CRIMES: THE KEEGSTRA CASE (Doug Christie) £2.40. The text of Doug Christie's courtroom defence of the persecuted Canadian schoolteacher Jim Keegstra. 1986, 34pp.

POPULISM AND ELITISM (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. A study of the purpose and function of political power and the elements which wield it in the present century. 1982, 101pp.

THE ENEMY OF EUROPE (Francis Parker Yockey & Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £6.00. Thought-provoking essay on the powers set on destroying the European peoples, written by Yockey and accompanied by a review of Yockey's work by Prof. Oliver. 1981, 240pp.

I.Q. AND RACIAL DIFFERENCES (Prof. Henry Garrett) £1.00. Clear and concise summary of the evidence of racial differences in intelligence and of their significance in education. 1980, 57pp.

THE UNHOLY ALLIANCE (Patrick Walsh) £2.25. A former Canadian undercover police officer exposes the dirty tricks employed by Zionists and Communists to misdirect and destroy patriotic groups and to suppress free enquiry. 1986, 34pp.

IS THERE INTELLIGENT LIFE ON EARTH? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. An entertaining survey of modern fallacies and their charlatan promotors. 1983, 94pp.

THE GREAT HOLOCAUST TRIAL (Michael A. Hoffmann II) £4.00. Extremely comprehensive and well written report on the infamous trial of Ernst Zundel in Canada, also covered in *The Zundel Trial and Free Speech*. 1985, 95pp.

OUT! U.K. IN E.E.C. SPELLS DISASTER (Oliver Smedley) £5.00. The political and economic consequences of Britain's membership of the Common Market. 1986, 79pp.

BOUND TO FAIL (Sundry authors) £2.00. A series of articles by various experts covering every aspect of Britain's disastrous membership of the EEC. 1987, 62pp.

TRUTH OUT OF AFRICA (Ivor Benson) £3.60. Developments in Africa, particularly 'Zimbabwe', since 1960, set against a background of the forces at work in the world as a whole. 2nd ed. 1984, 112pp.

TRADITIONALISTS' ANTHOLOGY (Compiled by Elizabeth Lady Freeman) £9.00. A fascinating collection of quotations from a wide variety of writers, many of which are of direct relevance to the nationalist cause. 1986, 185pp.

CHURCHILL'S WAR (David Irving) £16.95. The highly controversial story of Britain's World War II leader during the late pre-war and wartime years. This book was shunned by all the 'establishment' publishers on account of its startling disclosures. Churchill-worshippers and believers in the 'orthodox' version of modern history will not like this book, as it describes its central character as the man who ruined Britain and the British Empire in his insane vendetta against Hitler. 1987, 591pp.

GAY LESSONS (Rachel Tingle) £2.50. A topical exposure of the manner in which homosexuality is encouraged among young people by official bodies at public expense. 1986, 48pp.

THE MURDER OF BRITISH INDUSTRY (John Boyd) 75p. An exposure of the catastrophic economic and industrial effects of Britain's membership of the EEC. 1987, 61pp.

THIS AGE OF CONFLICT (Ivor Benson) £2.00. An exposure of the illegitimate power structures that exist in today's world. 1987, 61pp.

THE ELITE (Barbara Cole) £8.75. The exciting story of the Rhodesian Special Air Service and its campaign against terrorism. 1984, 449pp.

THE BARNES TRILOGY (Harry Elmer Barnes) £2.75. A combined volume containing this famous American revisionist's pamphlets: *Court Historians versus Revisionism; Blasting the Historical Blackout* and *Revisionism and Brainwashing*. These first appeared in 1952, 1963 and 1963 respectively, and this combined edition is dated 1979, with 133pp.

IS THE DIARY OF ANNE FRANK GENUINE? (Robert Faurisson) £2.75. Reprinted from *The Journal of Historical Review*, this is a critical commentary on an emotive historical document. 1982, 62pp.

THE FORCED WAR (David L. Hoggan) £21.00. The book that revisionists have been awaiting for years. Described by Harry Elmer Barnes as "The first thorough study of the responsibility for the causes of the Second World War...the definitive revisionist work on this subject." 1989, 732pp.

B.N.P. LEAFLETS

Single-sided

(1) Have you been thrown on the scrapheap by foreign imports? This leaflet deals with unemployment and explains in simple terms how vast numbers of British jobs are being destroyed by the international free-trade policies of successive governments. A brief and concise argument for economic nationalism.

(2) Violent crime in Britain: the horrifying truth. Deals with muggings, rapes and other violent attacks on defenceless people, giving examples of specific cases. Calls for much tougher treatment of violent criminals.

(3) Hang child murderers! Very powerful leaflet calling for the death penalty for the killers of little children.

(4) If we were black... Reprint, updated, of a previous BNP leaflet drawing attention to special favours and privileges granted to ethnic minority groups by national and local government, and calling on Whites — particularly the young — to fight for their rights.

(5) Immigration: the time to say STOP! Leaflet drawing attention to the still large numbers of immigrants coming into Britain and calling for repatriation.

(6) Multi-racial Britain: the experiment that failed. Help us end it! Collage of riot photos.

(7) Derelict Britain. Leaflet with photo exhibiting rotting urban landscape, calling upon people to help fight against the politicians who have brought this about. Mentions unemployment, bad housing, immigration, crime, etc.

(8) Stand by Ulster! Leaflet supporting loyalists in Northern Ireland and listing BNP policies for the province.

Leaflets are approx. 6.3in x 8.9in. Price: £4.50 per 1,000 with postage charges of £2.60 for 1,000 and £3.00 for 2,000.

Double-sided

(9) How to spot a red teacher. Upated reprint of an old nationalist leaflet aimed especially at school students and giving advice on how to combat left-wing brainwashing in the classroom.

(10) The great Tory con-trick. Leaflet exposing the way the Tories are currently trying to woo the people with patriotic and 'right-wing' slogans, while their underlying policies are leading to the destruction of Britain.

(11) Unite with your friends or perish! Leaflet appealing to loyalist Ulster people to join forces with their supporters on the mainland. Lists BNP policies for Northern Ireland.

Leaflets are approx. 6.3in x 8.9in. Price: £6 per 1,000 with postage charges of £2.60 for 1,000 and £3.00 for 2,000.

(1)



(2)



(3)



(4)

(5)

(6)



(7)



(8)

JUST WHO DO THESE LITTLE TIN-POT DICTATORS THINK THEY ARE?

WHILE Mrs. Thatcher is purring to the world that Britain stands for 'freedom', 'democracy' and 'tolerance', this country is infested everywhere with little mini-dictators who set themselves up as the judges of what political views may or may not be heard by the people.

As soon as the British National Party announced its intention to hold a public meeting in Darlington, Co. Durham, a shrill outcry came from the usual local tyrants to the effect that this meeting should be banned.

The Darlington Borough Council had granted the BNP the hire of the Dolphin Centre, a hall in the centre of the town. When this was known, the Darlington Trades Council, a left-wing body protested loudly and threatened that it was going to organise a massive counter-demonstration. In this it was joined by the self-styled 'Tyneside Anti-Fascist Association' from just up the road. The local press joined in the clamour, giving large publicity to the pronouncements of these tinpot totalitarians. 'Party told: stay away' screamed the *Darlington Evening Gazette*. It then announced, with evident smugness, that the BNP had had its booking of the Dolphin Centre cancelled by the Council (a Tory-controlled body) in response to threats from the left. This paper, which presumably would claim that it stands for 'democracy' and 'free speech' in Britain, expressed no outrage whatever at the denial of such freedom to the BNP.

The Tory Council had earlier been put in a spot by its acceptance of the Dolphin Centre booking. Frightened to death by the noise from the left, the Council got its solicitor, one Peter Kearsley, to say that the booking had been accepted in the belief that the BNP was putting up a local candidate in the European elections — in which case it could not be refused. This was rubbish. The BNP never announced any intention to fight the European elections, this being contrary to its whole policy of non-acceptance of the authority of the European Parliament. The excuse was just a get-out. The Council had been intimidated, but did not like to admit it.

It was subsequently announced in the *Northern Echo* that "Guidelines on the hiring of council premises are to be considered following a storm which erupted after a far right-wing political group booked a hall at the town's Dolphin Centre...Councillors yesterday agreed to call on officials to draw up a full report so that a policy could be formulated to avoid it happening again."

To avoid what happening again? Anyone would think that the BNP was some sort of criminal organisation similar to the Mafia. In fact it is a lawful political party which, in a supposedly democratic country, has as much right to hold meetings as any other party. All this hysteria is comical. But it is also outrageous.

Eventually the BNP, as reported on this page, held its meeting — at a nearby pub, which it was only able to use by booking it under an assumed name — a practice which it dislikes intensely but is forced to employ by reason of the ban operated it by bodies like Darlington Council.

Meanwhile the party had also applied to Kirklees Council in West Yorkshire for the use of a hall in Dewsbury for another public meeting. Kirklees Council turned this application down flat, and explained its action in an inane letter to local Regional Development Officer Eddy Morrison, in which it said:-

"I have given careful consideration to your request *(sic!)* but consider that usage by the British National Party will be at variance with the statutary obligations placed on local authorities by the Race Relations Act (1976) to:-

" 'Make appropriate arrangements with a view to securing that their various functions are carried out with due regard to the need: (a) to eliminate unlawful racial discrimination and (b) to promote equality of opportunity and good relations between persons of different racial groups.' "

This is pure poppycock. If the concern of the Council was that the BNP, in the course of holding the meeting, would violate one of the provisions of the Race Relations Act of 1976, then it was in fact presuming in advance that it would do so. That Act provides for a speaker to be prosecuted, subsequent to the event, if he or she uses words liable to stir up racial hatred. To anticipate in advance that this would happen, and therefore to deny use of a hall on that account, is about as sensible and reasonable as denying a family a council flat because of the presumption that someone in the family may subsequently violate one of the regulations of letting. The reasoning is ridiculous.

The BNP took the matter to a solicitor and was advised that the Council had no case whatever but that to establish this by court action would cost a very great deal of money, and at the end of it all the Council would still able to deny the party hire on some other pretext, such as threat of damage to premises (by the BNP's opponents of course).

After this, the BNP elected to hold its rally in an open space near the centre of the town. Strenuous efforts were made by the familiar local tyrants and busybodies to prevent this, but of no avail.

Once again, the local press reported all this without once expressing outrage that the principles of 'democracy' had been violated. On the contrary, one paper, the *Huddersfield Examiner*, went so far as to approve thoroughly the Council's decision to ban the BNP.

Darlington and Dewsbury are just two areas where the writ of these mini-tyrants runs. They are not untypical. All over the country, there is this mentality at work which believes that in Britain's supposed 'democracy' political parties like the BNP should be denied a voice. We are entitled to ask: just who the hell do these people think they are? By what yardstick do they imagine they have a right to deprive us of our rights? And just how do they square their attitudes with their boast that Britain is a land in which 'democracy' and 'freedom' belong to all?

BNP takes Darlington by storm

HUNDREDS HEAR NATIONALIST MESSAGE AND PARTY MAKES HEADLINES THROUGHOUT NORTH

THE RALLY staged by the British National Party in Darlington on June 10th exceeded all expectations in respect of the local response encountered and of the publicity obtained.

The BNP had originally made a booking of a room at the council-owned Dolphin Centre, but this was cancelled by the council as soon as left-wing groups started to threaten hostile counter-demonstrations.

The BNP thereupon booked a substitute rally venue at a local public house on the outskirts of Darlington. This was done under a false name — a regrettable measure but one of the kind that the party is forced to take as long as local authorities remain determined to deny it its proper right of assembly.

An advance team of BNP activists from units in Yorkshire and the North East arrived in the town centre at about mid-day, with a plan to distribute leaflets attacking the council for the cancellation and to alert people to the fact that the rally would proceed at the new venue, to be kept secret until the last moment.

As 2 o'clock approached, the BNP activists made their way to nearby Houndsgate and were joined by about 80-90 ordinary local people, all young and patriotically inclined but with no previous connection with the party. All along the route, more and more people joined the procession to Houndsgate — everyone knew about the BNP!

At Houndsgate a quick *impromptu* open-air rally was staged, with Eddy Morrison as the speaker climbing onto a wall. As Mr. Morrison spoke, copies of *British Nationalist* were sold and more leaflets were handed out. By this time at least 150 were present. Then, a few minutes later, about 200 mostly unwashed left-wingers turned up to scream abuse at the BNP — much to the latter's amusement. But clearly the reds were surprised by the BNP's strength. In the meantime they were treated by the local youngsters with total derision.

When this preliminary rally finished, the crowd were asked to follow the BNP members through the town to the main meeting. This was located about 2 miles away and most had to proceed on foot. Thus an impressive unofficial march took place along the ring road, albeit with no flags (due to police instructions), and this spread out over about 200 yards in clumps of 20-30 at a time.

When the transport conveying several more BNP activists from the South and Midlands arrived in the town, those travelling with this party were amazed to see what looked like a crowd of about 200 marching along. The first thought was that this must be the opposition, as nothing like that number of BNP members had been expected. Closer examination made this seem unlikely. These masses of youngsters looked much too clean and tidy to be members of the left! At this point one of the BNP team who had been in the town since earlier in the day came up and told the newly arrived group that these were indeed ordinary local supporters from the town!

Some of these young people did eventually get to the indoor rally, and they swelled the audience to over a hundred. Many, however, decided instead to 'confront' the left-wingers and give them a piece of their mind.

SUNDAY Sun
The North's Own Sunday Newspaper
Win our £70000 CASH JACKPOT in CROSSBALL Page 39
THUGS ON RAMPAGE
Mounted police charge to stop rally clashes
WIN prizes for SuperDad Page 10 NEXT WEEK
INSIDE OUT
G REG
DRIVE AWAY OFFICIALS SAVE £250
NORTH EAST GARAGES LTD.

MASSIVE PRESS PUBLICITY
This is the front page of the* Sunday Sun, *a paper that circulates all over the North, following the BNP rally in Darlington. The 'thugs' referred to in the headline were of course the reds who tried to stop the rally, although this is not made clear by the paper.

REDS IN RETREAT

The next day, a report appared in the *Sunday Sun* which featured a photograph of a group of left-wingers breaking away from the main group to 'ambush' the BNP. What next happened was not mentioned in the report: the left-wingers did indeed find the BNP — but soon wished they hadn't! Those at the rear of the BNP procession charged the reds and gave them a real pasting, causing them to run for their lives. As the BNP supporters pursued the leftists through the streets the police looked on with nonchalance, suggesting: (1) that might does indeed make right (the pro-BNP crowd was rather too large to tackle!); and (2) that those same policemen were not exactly in sympathy with the red garbage that had come to pollute the town.

Some considerable number of pro-BNP youngsters (though not BNP members) continued the afternoon 'red-hunting', and this to an extent diminished the size of the audience at the main rally. At the same time the reds suffered a crippling blow to their morale on encountering such size of opposition after they had come to town expecting only to have to deal with an outnumbered handful of BNP members.

The reds, in their frustration, later decided to take it out on the police and laid siege to the police station. They were soon dealt with as police snatch squads moved in to arrest the ring-leaders. BNP members had earlier witnessed one of the police horses kicking a red. The poor creature evidently could not stand the stench of these people's presence!

Altogether, about 200 nationalists and nationalist sympathisers took part in the day's events. At the afternoon rally about 120 attended and listened to speeches by Eddy Morrison (by now rather hoarse), Richard Edmonds and John Tyndall. The rally ended in tremendous enthusiasm and the collection raised £224. Large numbers of enquiries were made about the party, to be followed by many more in the post in the weeks afterwards.

The publicity in the papers was enormous but, predictably, biased aginst the BNP. The reporter from the *Sunday Sun*, dismayed by the success of the rally, which he had hoped to report in tones of sneering contempt, concocted a story that BNP members present had been smoking cannabis. Bearing in mind the party's attitude to drugs, this smear is simply laughable. Nevertheless, a letter of protest has been sent to the editor concerning this and other falsehoods contained in the report.

All in all, the event was a huge success from every point of view. *British Nationalist* sends its congratulations to the Darlington branch and to the Yorkshire and Tyneside activsists who helped them on the day.

BEATING THE BAN
John Tyndall starts to address Darlington rally

Darlington, June 10th: countdown to victory

The British National Party's double-rally in Darlington on Saturday, June 10th has to be one of the greatest successes achieved by any nationalist organisation in this decade! The events of the day were electrifying. This is how it happened:-

EIGHT WEEKS BEFORE: Darlington BNP applies for the use of the Council-owned Dolphin Leisure Centre in the middle of the town.

SEVEN WEEKS BEFORE: The BNP's application is granted.

FIVE WEEKS BEFORE: Local left-wing agitators start to pressure the Tory-controlled Council to withdraw the booking of the Dolphin Centre and ban the meeting. This results in massive local publicity.

THREE WEEKS BEFORE: In a furore of publicity, the craven Tory cowards on the Council back down and cancel the BNP's booking.

TWO WEEKS BEFORE: The BNP says it **will** come to Darlington on June 10th nevertheless and hold a meeting — come what may.

SATURDAY, JUNE 10th, 10 a.m.: Local BNP members start to leaflet Darlington Centre, publicising the cancellation of the Dolphin Centre and advertising the party's alternative meeting.

11 a.m.: Red mob starts assembling nearby.

11.30 a.m.: The reds start putting out a tatty leaflet saying 'Throw the Nazi BNP out of Darlington'. Darlington white folk throw the leaflets away by the hundred.

12 noon: BNP members from the East Midlands, Yorkshire, Lancashire, Tyneside and other regions arrive. Mass paper-sale and leafletting gets under way — to great reception by the Whites of Darlington.

1 p.m.– Police seal off Houndsgate area, where BNP plans to have quick *impromptu* open-air rally.

1.30 p.m.: BNP activists start gathering in Houndsgate, along with local white youths.

2 p.m.: As more and more white people arrive to support the BNP, the pro-nationalist crowd swells to about 200.

2.10 p.m.: Red garbage numbering about 300 arrive at BNP rally. Police with horses throw a screen between the two groups. The BNP rally gets under way. Eddy Morrison climbs onto a wall and makes a short, fiery speech. The reds try and drown him but fail. Only the police save the red mob from

Contd. on back page

Dewsbury, June 24th

A DAY OF TRIUMPH

THOSE who were present at the tremendous BNP rally in Dewsbury (Yorks) on June 24th will never forget the day if they live to be 100.

The rally was the most successful party event in the six-year history of the BNP. Close to 400 attended the rally and hundreds more — possibly up to a total of a thousand — would have been in attendance were it not for a premature order by the police to bring the meeting to a close only a few minutes after it had been scheduled to start

That this event was so successful the party owes almost entirely to its Yorkshire activists and the great mass of ordinary members of the public of Dewsbury and surrounding areas. The rally did not depend to any great extent on support from other parts of the country, which on the day was modest.

In the weeks beforehand the BNP conducted an energetic publicity campaign to advertise the rally, headed by Yorkshire Regional Development Officer Eddy Morrison and ably assisted by Stanley Clayton-Garnett, who gave a first-class TV interview a few days before the event. Reports coming into Headquarters indicated that local interest was tremendous and that the party might be surprised by the size of the turn-out on the day.

At the same time, some elements from the local Asian community, supported by various far left-wing political groups, made it clear that their intention was to cause trouble in Dewsbury on June 24th in the hope of getting the rally stopped. The day before the rally, Labour-controlled Kirklees Council appealed to the Home Secretary for a ban on the rally, but the latter had no power to impose any such ban; a ban was placed on marches and processions, but that did not affect the BNP, since no such activities had been planned.

By 1.50 p.m., 40 minutes before the rally was due to start, a crowd of nearly 400 had assembled on the site chosen. Then the police directed that the rally start immediately and before many of those intending to come had arrived. David Bruce, Eddy Morrison and Stanley Clayton Garnett made short speeches, with John Tyndall due to follow as the final speaker. Then at only 2.20 p.m. the police directed that the rally be ended in ten minutes time, at 2.30. John Tyndall made a greatly curtailed ten-minute speech and the event concluded — to tremendous enthusiasm.

WHITES FIGHT BACK

Many of the dramatic events took place after the finish. A report from local BNP activists established that crowds of local young people, estimated at between 400 and 600, were still trying to get to the rally when it closed down. Hundreds of Asians went rampaging through the town, smashing shops, breaking car windows and attacking a pub. In one situation a group of about 100 young BNP supporters, many of them not members, were charged by a mob of about 400 young Asians. The nationalists stood their ground and fought like lions, giving as good as they got and eventually putting the Asians to flight!

In the hours after the rally and in the days following, our Yorkshire leaders were flooded with enquiries about joining the BNP. And, as everyone knows, the event received national headlines on TV and radio and in the press.

A day to remember — Dewsbury, June 24th!

DARLINGTON, JUNE 10th: COUNTDOWN TO VICTORY

(Contd. from prev. page)

a severe counter-attack by the 200 or so BNP supporters.

2.20 p.m.: A big Union Jack is raised by 15 BNP supporters. The reds go almost hysterical at this. The nationalists start singing *Rule Britannia*. Swarms of media reporters take pictures of the scene.

2.30 p.m.: The BNP march away from Moundsgate for a second meeting, this time indoors. BNP leader John Tyndall arrives and is greeted by a huge cheer.

3 p.m.: People arrive for indoor meeting at local pub. In the end, about 100 assemble. In the town centre meanwhile, the police charge the red mob, who are seething with frustration at not being able to smash the BNP. The reds start to riot in the town centre.

3.15 p.m.: BNP meeting starts. Speakers Eddy Morrison and Richard Edmonds warm up the crowd. The atmosphere is electric.

3.45 p.m.: As local reporters take down notes and amidst a barrage of flashing cameras, BNP leader John Tyndall rises, to thunderous applause, and addresses the meeting. He calls for a nationalist revolution and receives a standing ovation from the crowd.

4.30 p.m.: Rally ends. A tremendous victory for nationalism has been won in Darlington as scores enquire about membership. The local radio, TV and press report events as they unfold.

THE DAYS FOLLOWING: Massive publicity for the BNP all over the North as a result of the events of June 10th.

DEWSBURY
Top: a section of the near-400 crowd listens attentively. Centre: Eddy Morrison speaks. Below: John Tyndall speaks.

ELECTRICITY SELL OFF TO HIT SCOTTISH PITS

(Contd. from page 4)

notice that the use of imported coal in Scottish power stations could lead to industrial action. In this instance, it would be industrial action deserving of support.

At the start of this year, the truce ended and the SSEB gave notice that unless coal prices dropped dramatically no British Coal would be bought after March 31st. The impact of this would be to close all deep mining of coal in Scotland overnight. To give British Coal more time, the SSEB has offered various 'deals' to British Coal for renegotiated coal supplies. These include a fixed timetable of dropping prices to bring them into line with 'world prices'.

The reality of all this is that world coal prices are rising, that British coal prices have ben cut by a third since 1985 and that 'cheap' coal from China and Poland is simply a 'loss leader'. Once the market is swamped, the price soars (remember our motor cycle industry).

The fact is that electricity privatisation (and not just in Scotland) will have a crippling impact on the electricity industry and its immediate supplier, coal. And in Scotland the combination of industrial factors could kill off coal mining **before** the legislation comes into effect.

The British National Party is totally opposed to foreign coal imports, and supports wholeheartedly any efforts made the unions to keep out foreign coal.

SORRY FOR ERRORS!

Two items in last month's issue contained 'continued' inserts, which indicated that they would resume on later pages. In both cases, however, the continuations were not included. We apologise to readers for these errors.

NATIONALIST RESPONSE: Quarterly publication of South Wales BNP. Single copies 50p inc. p&p. Annual subscription £2.50. Spring issue now available. Orders to PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB.

You are invited to join a housing co-operative. Need help? Want to help? Write to 'Housing', BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX.

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the addresses of the main units:-

NORTH WEST LONDON
BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX

EAST LONDON
PO Box 300, Emma Street, Hackney, London E2 7BZ

SOUTH LONDON
PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

WEST KENT
PO Box 48, Tonbridge TN11 9JF

EAST KENT
73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

EPPING FOREST
PO Box 12, Loughton, Essex IG10 3BU

SUFFOLK
2 Albert Road, Framlingham

NORFOLK
9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

SOUTH WALES
PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Bar., Birmingham B44 9LZ

EAST MIDLANDS
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

MANCHESTER & SALFORD
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

LEEDS & BRADFORD
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

HUDDERSFIELD
PO Box A43, Huddersfield HD1 4NN

WAKEFIELD
PO Box 101, Wakefield WF2 9XY

DONCASTER
PO Box 73, Doncaster DN4 6BS

SHEFFIELD
PO Box 44, Sheffield, S. Yorks. S1 1ES

DARLINGTON
PO Box 61, Darlington, Co. Durham DL3 9RD

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND
PO Box 85, Glasgow G8 8UL

The BNP also has units in Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Cambridge, Brierley Hill (West Midlands), Burton-on-Trent, Nottingham, North Nottinghamshire, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Blackburn, Hull, Halifax, York, Dewsbury, Sunderland and Inverness. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to BNP Head Office at PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

YES, WE ARE BEING SWAMPED!

(Contd. from page 2)

our other cties. In addition, according to Powell, government ministers are well aware of the facts, just as they are of the likely consequences.

It remains to be seen whether the other half of Mr. Powell's prediction is fated to come about. He went on to say that he believed that when Whites had woken up to their predicament they would lash back against it and there would be a likelihood of racial civil war.

This, said Powell, could only be averted by the "substantial repatriation" of coloured immigrants to their lands of origin.

However, all the Westminster political parties, including the Tories, are fully committed to the swamping of Britain with non-Whites. **It is now only the British National Party that stands for the repatriation policy recommended by Mr. Powell.**

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 25p from your local seller. Would bulk-purchasers order **only** in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £2.50 + 65p post
25 copies £5.50 + £2.10 post
50 copies £10.00 + £2.60 post
100 copies £17.50 + £3.00 post
150 copies £25.00 + £3.45 post
200 copies £30.00 + £3.85 post
300 copies £42.00 + £5.00 post
400 copies £55.00 + £5.00 post
500 copies £65.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £125.00) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £5.00 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles and £6.60 (unsealed surface mail) for subscribers overseas. Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send them to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

An additional charge of £5.50 is required on all foreign currency cheques and POs and an additional £2 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

.....

What We Stand For

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs
- A government that will put British interests first
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain
- A sane financial system that will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce
- The revival of British farming
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work — with the proviso that work is locally available
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation
- British support for the Whites in South Africa
- An end to financial aid to corrupt Third World regimes
- The strengthening of Britain's defences
- Tougher treatment of criminals, including the return of the death penalty
- The smashing of the IRA, the termination of the Anglo-Irish Agreement and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland
- Repealing of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media
- The elimination of communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW
(Tick appropriate box)

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 30p ☐

I wish to become a member and enclose £12 ☐
(Half rate for OAPs and students)

Name.....

Address.....

.....

Printed & published by BN Press, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW